5	Feb 20	 Critical Framework Peer Review Review Annotated Images Evaluation Criteria and Samples 	<i>Curation Draft Due (February 22) Visual Ethics Draft Due (February 22)</i>
6	Feb 25	 Introduce Visual Articulation Project 	<i>Critical Framework OPTIONAL Teacher Draft Due (February 25)</i>
	Feb 27	 Curation Peer Review Visual Ethics Peer Review 	Annotated Images Draft Due (March 1)
7	Mar 4	RESEARCH/WORK DAY	

Ш

Possible Evaluation Criteria

- Presents Three Images
- Clear Annotations
- Annotations Connect to Descriptions
- Annotations Connect to Context for Each Image
- Clear Understanding of Visual Rhetoric
- Clear Consistent Delivery
- Revised and Edited


Small Group Peer Review Practice


NOTE: The samples are not direct translations. The goal is to practice peer review for this *kind* of project.


In small groups:

- 1. define the criteria for yourselves
- review the samples and find good and bad examples of each criterion
- offer an overall summary and set of recommendations for each sample









nnotated lmage S

Bradford	John
Julien	Brian
Banks	Mara
	Bianca
Joseph	Zion
Hannah	Michael
Marilyn	Lauren
Mason	
Austyn	
Kaylan	
Nicholas	





Possible Evaluation Criteria

- Logical Organization
- Clear Definition
- Clear Guidance for Performing Analysis
- Incorporates Visual Examples Effectively
- Clear Understanding of Visual Rhetoric
- Revised and Edited



Peer Review for Critical Framework

In small groups:

- re-review the criteria
 review the drafts and find good and bad examples of each criterion for each draft
 - offer an overall summary and set of recommendations for each draft

Bradford	Michael
Zion	John
Bianca	Mason
	Mara
Joseph	Lauren
Kaylan	Banks
Nicholas	Hannah
	Brian
Marilyn	
Austyn	
Julien	







If you have any questions, please contact me through Canvas Conversations.

ENG 409A - Visual Rhetoric